

**MINUTES
OF THE REGULAR MEETING
OF THE JURUPA VALLEY CITY COUNCIL
April 1, 2021**

The meeting was held at the Jurupa Valley City Council Chamber, 8930 Limonite Avenue,
Jurupa Valley, CA

1. 6:00 PM - CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL FOR CLOSED SESSION

- Lorena Barajas, Mayor
- Chris Barajas, Mayor Pro Tem
- Leslie Altamirano, Council Member
- Brian Berkson, Council Member
- Guillermo Silva, Council Member

Mayor Lorena Barajas called the closed session meeting to order at 6:05 p.m.

2. CONVENE TO CLOSED SESSION

A. PUBLIC COMMENTS PERTAINING TO CLOSED SESSION ITEMS

There were no public comments regarding the closed session items.

B. CITY MANAGER ANNUAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION. The City Council met in closed session pursuant to Government Code Section 54957 to evaluate the performance of the City Manager and establish goals and performance objectives for the next year as required by the City Manager's Employment Agreement.

C. CONFERENCE WITH REAL PROPERTY NEGOTIATORS. The City Council met in closed session pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.8 regarding the potential purchase of real property located at 5293 Mission Boulevard, Jurupa Valley 92509 (former Riverside County Fleet Services Building). The parties to the negotiations for the purchase of the property are: City of Jurupa Valley and County of Riverside. Negotiators for the City of Jurupa Valley are: Rod Butler, Michael Flad, George Wentz and Peter Thorson. Under negotiation are the price and terms of payment for the potential purchase of the property.

3. RECONVENE IN OPEN SESSION

A. ANNOUNCEMENT OF ANY REPORTABLE ACTIONS IN CLOSED SESSION

City Attorney Peter Thorson announced that there were no reportable actions taken.

4. 7:00 PM - CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL FOR REGULAR SESSION

- Lorena Barajas, Mayor
- Chris Barajas, Mayor Pro Tem
- Leslie Altamirano, Council Member
- Brian Berkson, Council Member
- Guillermo Silva, Council Member

Mayor Lorena Barajas called the regular meeting to order at 7:04 p.m.

5. INVOCATION was given by Pastor Jon Hensley, from New Beginnings Community Church.

6. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE was led by Peter Thorson.

7. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

A motion was made by Mayor Pro Tem Chris Barajas, seconded by Council Member Guillermo Silva, to approve the Agenda.

Ayes: L. Altamirano, C. Barajas, L. Barajas, B. Berkson, G. Silva
Noes: None
Absent: None

8. PRESENTATIONS

A. RIVERSIDE COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF ANIMAL SERVICES - INTRODUCTION OF “PET OF THE MONTH”

The City’s Pet of the Month for April, “Otis”, an 11-month old Chihuahua was introduced to the Council. Anyone interested in giving Otis a home is invited to contact the City’s Animal Control Services Department at <https://www.rcdas.org>

B. PROCLAIMING “DONATE LIFE MONTH” IN THE CITY OF JURUPA VALLEY

Mayor Lorena Barajas read a proclamation proclaiming April as “Donate Life Month” in the City of Jurupa Valley. The proclamation encourages citizens to sign up with the California Donor Registry at the California Department of Motor Vehicles at www.donatelifecalifornia.org

9. PUBLIC APPEARANCE/COMMENTS

Spencer Rogers stated that there is no reason to continue keeping the Council meetings closed to the public as there is room to social distance and the City Council can restrict the number of people allowed at any one time.

Shawna Cockrel voiced a concern regarding the number of food vendors that assemble on Bain Street between Limonite and Bellegrave. There are long lines and the vendors often leave behind trash, which is a public nuisance. She stated that some of the food vendors have now branched into swap meet type businesses.

10. INTRODUCTIONS, ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS, COUNCIL COMMENTS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS

There were no Council comments.

11. CITY COUNCIL MEMBER ORAL/WRITTEN REPORTS REGARDING REGIONAL BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS

A. MAYOR LORENA BARAJAS

- 1. Mayor Barajas gave an update on the Interagency Coordinating Council meeting of March 26, 2021.**

B. COUNCIL MEMBER BRIAN BERKSON

- 1. Council Member Berkson gave an update on the Riverside County Transportation Commission – Western Riverside County Programs and Projects Committee meeting of March 22, 2021.**
- 2. Council Member Berkson gave an update on the Riverside Transit Agency meeting of March 25, 2021.**
- 3. Council Member Berkson gave an update on the Metrolink / Southern California Regional Rail Authority Board meeting of March 26, 2021.**

12. CITY MANAGER'S UPDATE

City Manager Rod Butler gave an update on the Jurupa Grade Separation Project. He announced that the bid opening is scheduled for May 5, 2021 and the award of contract is scheduled for June 29, 2021 with a tentative start date of July 15, 2021. The County is planning a virtual ground breaking for the project depending on the County's re-opening plans due to the reduction in Covid-19 cases.

Council Member Brian Berkson requested that City staff follow-up on improving the curb on Pedley Road to provide an enhanced buffer for motorists.

13. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A. MARCH 18, 2021 REGULAR MEETING

A motion was made by Mayor Pro Tem Chris Barajas, seconded by Council Member Leslie Altamirano, to approve the Minutes of the March 18, 2021 regular meeting.

**Ayes: L. Altamirano, C. Barajas, L. Barajas, B. Berkson, G. Silva
Noes: None
Absent: None**

14. CONSENT CALENDAR

A. COUNCIL APPROVAL OF A MOTION TO WAIVE THE READING OF THE TEXT OF ALL ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS INCLUDED IN THE AGENDA

Requested Action: That the City Council waive the reading of the text of all ordinances and resolutions included in the agenda.

B. CONSIDERATION OF CHECK REGISTER IN THE AMOUNT OF \$6,896,224.45

Requested Action: That the City Council ratify the check registers dated February 25, 2021 and March 4, 11, and 18, 2021 as well as the payroll register dated February 28, 2021 and March 5, and 19, 2021.

C. REDUCTION OF IMPROVEMENT BONDS AND INITIATION OF ONE-YEAR WARRANTY PERIOD FOR PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS ON PARCEL MAP 37125 BOUNDED BY VAN BUREN BOULEVARD ON THE NORTH, ETIWANDA AVENUE ON THE EAST, RIVERSIDE DRIVE ON THE SOUTH, AND DAY CREEK CHANNEL (PILOT TRAVEL CENTERS, LLC)

- 1. Requested Action: That the City Council authorize the City Manager to record the Notice of Completion; and**
- 2. Authorize the City Engineer to reduce the Performance Bond and Material and Labor Bond for the street improvements to start the one-year warranty period; after which the City Engineer may fully release the bond; and**
- 3. Authorize the City Engineer to release the cash deposit for the Monument Bond 90 days after the recordation of the Notice of Completion unless the City receives a stop notice or other lien.**

D. ADOPTION OF A GENERAL FUND RESERVE POLICY

Requested Action: That the City Council adopt Resolution No. 2021-20, entitled:

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF JURUPA VALLEY, CALIFORNIA, APPROVING A GENERAL FUND RESERVE POLICY

E. ADOPTION OF A DEBT MANAGEMENT POLICY

Requested Action: That the City Council adopt Resolution No. 2021-21, entitled:

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF JURUPA VALLEY, CALIFORNIA, APPROVING A DEBT MANAGEMENT POLICY

A motion was made by Mayor Pro Tem Chris Barajas, seconded by Council Member Guillermo Silva, to approve the Consent Calendar.

Ayes: L. Altamirano, C. Barajas, L. Barajas, B. Berkson, G. Silva

Noes: None

Absent: None

15. CONSIDERATION OF ANY ITEMS REMOVED FROM THE CONSENT CALENDAR

16. PUBLIC HEARINGS

A. PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER ZONING CODE AMENDMENT NO. 21003 (ZCA21003) REVISING MUNICIPAL CODE CHAPTER 9.145 (INDUSTRIAL PARK (I-P) ZONE) PERTAINING TO EMERGENCY SHELTERS FOR INDIVIDUALS EXPERIENCING HOMELESSNESS

Staff report presented by Joe Perez, Community Development Director.

Mayor Lorena Barajas opened the public hearing.

There being no further comments, the public hearing was closed.

A motion was made by Council Member Guillermo Silva, seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Chris Barajas, to introduce Ordinance No. 2021-08, entitled:

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF JURUPA VALLEY, CALIFORNIA, AMENDING SECTIONS 9.145.020 (“USES PERMITTED”) AND 9.145.050 (“DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS”) OF CHAPTER 9.145 (“I-P ZONE (INDUSTRIAL PARK)”) OF TITLE 9 (“PLANNING AND ZONING”) OF THE JURUPA VALLEY MUNICIPAL CODE CONCERNING EMERGENCY SHELTER DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS, AND FINDING AN EXEMPTION FROM CEQA UNDER SECTION 15061 (B) (3) OF THE CEQA GUIDELINES

Ayes: L. Altamirano, C. Barajas, L. Barajas, B. Berkson, G. Silva
Noes: None
Absent: None

B. PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER ZONING CODE AMENDMENT NO. 21004 (ZCA21004) REPLACING THE TERM “PLANNING DIRECTOR” WITH THE TERM “COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR” THROUGHOUT THE MUNICIPAL CODE

Staff report presented by Joe Perez, Community Development Director.

Mayor Lorena Barajas opened the public hearing.

There being no further comments, the public hearing was closed.

A motion was made by Mayor Pro Tem Chris Barajas, seconded by Council Member Leslie Altamirano, to introduce Ordinance No. 2021-09, entitled:

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF JURUPA VALLEY, CALIFORNIA, AMENDING THE JURUPA VALLEY MUNICIPAL CODE TO REPLACE THE TERM “PLANNING DIRECTOR” TO “COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR,” AND FINDING AN EXEMPTION FROM CEQA UNDER SECTION 15061 (B) (3) OF THE CEQA GUIDELINES

Ayes: L. Altamirano, C. Barajas, L. Barajas, B. Berkson, G. Silva
Noes: None
Absent: None

17. COUNCIL BUSINESS

A. AGREEMENT BETWEEN CITY OF JURUPA VALLEY AND THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE TO PUCHASE THE BUILDING LOCATED AT 5293 MISSION BOULEVARD, JURUPA VALLEY, CA

Staff report presented by Michael Flad, Assistant City Manager. Mr. Flad gave a PowerPoint presentation highlighting the features of the building, including square

footage, furniture, fixtures, and equipment. He provided an overview of the terms of the proposed agreement.

Further discussion followed.

Mayor Lorena Barajas thanked City staff and the City Attorney's Office for all their hard work and efforts. She noted that this building has enormous potential for the City of Jurupa Valley.

A motion was made by Council Member Brian Berkson, seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Chris Barajas, to approve the proposed Agreement between the City and the County of Riverside for purchase of the property located at 5293 Mission Boulevard, Jurupa Valley, CA (also known as the Fleet Services Building); and authorize the City Manager to execute the final agreement.

Ayes: L. Altamirano, C. Barajas, L. Barajas, B. Berkson, G. Silva

Noes: None

Absent: None

B. INITIATION OF A GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT TO ALLOW A 250-ACRE MIXED-USED PROJECT TO INCLUDE WAREHOUSE AND DISTRIBUTION USES OUTSIDE OF THE MIRA LOMA AND AGUA MANSA WAREHOUSE AND DISTRIBUTION CENTER OVERLAYS

Staff report presented by Joe Perez, Community Development Director. Mr. Perez reported that approximately one year ago, the owner, EM Ranch Owner, LLC, requested the initiation of a General Plan Amendment (GPA), proposing up to 4 million square feet of warehousing and distribution space as well as other components, including retail, commercial, medical, hotels, recreation, and open space. The City Council ultimately denied that request as a result of the potential negative impacts of warehousing and distribution uses in the city and the sheer volume of those uses as well as the need for other types of uses, including commercial, entertainment, and residential. Mr. Perez detailed the proposed conceptual site plan, noting that the developer is proposing up to a maximum of 1.5 million square feet of industrial/warehousing space which is less than the approximately 4 million that was included in their previous proposal. Mr. Perez reported that in order for this project to be allowed, there would need to be an amendment to allow the Agua Mansa Warehouse Overlay to be applied to the project. He outlined the applicant's request and the entitlements that would be required.

Council Member Brian Berkson noted that there is a current City moratorium on truck intensive uses. He questioned how this proposal would relate to that moratorium.

Joe Perez, Community Development Director, clarified that this project may proceed as the applicant is contemplating a change in one of the overlay zones where warehousing and logistics is allowed.

Tarek Shaer, (representing the owner) spoke in support of the project. He gave an overview of their public outreach and what they have learned over the past year. He stated that the intent of tonight's meeting is not to request approval of the project design or the merits of the project, but rather to give them the "green light" to start the discussion with the City and engage with the community in working and identifying a balanced site design that would include no more than 1.5 million square feet of warehousing and logistics along with a mixed-use of commercial, retail, restaurant, housing, and open space. He noted that they have received several letters of interest from several national tenants who are eager to serve the community.

Ellen Porter spoke in opposition to the proposed GPA, stating that she is not in favor of warehouses outside the established Mira Loma area and this proposed warehouse development is not a good fit for the area where proposed.

Berenise Salsedo voiced opposition to the proposed GPA, voicing concerns that building warehouses near a residential area will create traffic and additional air pollution to an already overburdened area.

David Segrist voiced opposition to the proposed GPA. He asked the Council to keep looking for a better use of the land instead of more warehouses.

Kitha Torregano voiced opposition to the proposed GPA as the proposed warehouses will create more truck traffic.

Guillermo and Michelle Reyes voiced support of the proposed GPA as it will help to improve the vacant land, which has been an eyesore for several years. They are also looking forward to all the community benefits that will come from this project. They encouraged the Council to proceed with the GPA and continue their efforts to explore viable land uses for the community.

Christina Pixtun voiced opposition to the proposed GPA. She noted the noise and odor of diesel fuel that she lives with now that Philadelphia and Country Village was opened to through-traffic. She believes Jurupa Valley has enough warehouses and traffic.

Joyce E. Warhop voiced opposition to the proposed GPA. She noted that the acreage in question once belonged to her grandparents Nancy and Willie Clark who moved to Riverside County in 1920. She stated that the proposed project is not conducive to the needs of providing affordable housing and logistics and warehouse

uses also bring excessive traffic, which Wallace Street is not designed to accommodate.

Mr. and Mrs. Keary Waltz spoke in support of the proposed GPA. As residents who have lived in the Rubidoux area for over 40 years, they believe the proposed project will greatly improve the vacant land, which is currently an eyesore and detriment to Rubidoux. They commented that the current owners have taken great efforts to maintain the current property and they believe that “The District at Rubidoux” will be a great opportunity for the community.

Mary Vidales spoke in support of the proposed GPA, as it will greatly improve the vacant land which is currently an eyesore and detriment to Rubidoux. She commented that she is looking forward to the many community benefits that will come from this project.

Andrew Ramirez spoke in opposition to the proposed GPA, commenting that if approved, this plan surely establishes the city as a whole as a group of stakeholders and “greedy politicians.”

Percy and Shelia Green Sr. spoke in favor of the proposed GPA, stating that they have been residents in the Rubidoux area for over 50 years. This project will help improve the vacant land that is currently an eyesore and detriment to the community and they are eagerly looking forward to the many community benefits that will come from this project. They commended the owner for helping to reduce the trespassing, homelessness and illegal dumping and they are hopeful the Council will allow project known as “The District at Rubidoux” to proceed.

Jonathan Garcia voiced opposition to the proposed GPA. As a resident of the Rubidoux area for 30 years, he welcomes the potential for retail and business diversity, hospitality and infrastructure development, and environmentally conscious smart growth. However, a logistics center/commercial warehouse development should be “out of the picture.” He asked the Council to find a better solution as this land development proposal affects everyone.

Evelyn Hedrick voiced opposition to the proposed GPA as allowing another warehouse building will not serve the community’s best interest. She commented that warehouse jobs may provide quick short-term gains; however, they create long-term negative health impacts. She referred to a map from “The Impact Project Policy Brief Series Report Storing Harm: the Health and Community Impacts of Goods Movement and Logistic” conducted in 2012 that illustrates the cluster of existing warehouses in the area.

Lorena Pineda voiced support of the proposed GPA, stating that she is very excited about the development of the vacant land. She encouraged the Council to

proceed with initiating the general plan amendment, as the project will bring significant value and resources to the community.

Laura Roughton voiced opposition to the proposed GPA. She noted before Jurupa Valley incorporated as a City, the Center for Community Action and Environmental Justice fought a long battle with the County to establish the Mira Loma Warehouse Policy Area to protect residents from future warehouse encroachment. She noted that warehouse use is not what is best for the City's residents as most of these warehouses bring truck traffic, which damages the City's roads and pollutes the air with no benefit to residents.

Tyler Byrne spoke in support of the proposed GPA, stating that the Council should support businesses, and developers that find an interest in bringing their vision to the city and the Council should be committed to establishing relationships that build on fostering new ideas, and projects that will provide responsible growth and economic development that will be beneficial to the community.

Rebecca Rodarte – Byrne spoke in support of the proposed GPA as it will greatly improve the vacant land, which is in need of improvement and is a detriment to Jurupa Valley. She is looking forward to the many community benefits that will come from this project. She is hopeful that the Council will allow The District at Rubidoux to proceed in initiating the General Plan Amendment and continue their efforts to explore viable land uses for our community.

Cheryl Peña Valenzuela spoke in opposition to the proposed GPA. She has lived on Wallace Street for 33 years and she does not support adding warehouses, which will create additional traffic and diesel smog.

An unidentified speaker voiced support of the proposed GPA.

Brenda Reynolds voiced her support of Mrs. Laura Roughton's opposition letter.

Johnny Magueyal voiced support of the proposed GPA.

Bonnie Butler voiced opposition to the proposed GPA based on the following: 1) the truck traffic will increase harmful air pollution; 2) items stored in the warehouses and logistic center can also cause health problems in the community; 3) automation and artificial intelligence will be the employees at these warehouses and logistic center. 4) the community needs responsible development that will provide Jurupa Valley residents the opportunity to earn a living wage; 5) Fifty-four percent of this project is warehousing and logistics. This is not a mixed-use project.

Anthony Kelly Jr. stated as a former mayor and Council Member for the City of Jurupa Valley, he is opposed to the proposed GPA, as it includes 1 million square

feet of warehousing within a residential neighborhood, near a school zone and several religious congregations. He stated that 135 acres of warehousing within the historical residential area of Rubidoux and Belltown will not create prosperity in the community. The dangers and health risks that include truck traffic and pollution from such a development heavily outweigh any benefit.

Jamila Lenoir spoke in opposition to the proposed GPA. She outlined the history of the Emerald Meadows project on Wallace Street. She voiced a concern that the proposed project would bring traffic congestion to the Rubidoux area.

Maria Ysais spoke in opposition to the proposed GPA.

Debbie Nunez Miklovich voiced opposition to the proposed GPA as she is concerned about the traffic, noise and pollution that the warehouses will cause.

Corey Moore voiced opposition to the proposed GPA. Given the housing shortage faced by the state and the resultant mandates on creating affordable housing, he is opposed to removing residential zoning in order to create new warehousing.

Brenda Lane voiced opposition to the proposed GPA and encouraged the Council to vote no on the project.

Carol Crouch spoke in opposition to the proposed GPA, stating that this is a gateway to the City of Jurupa Valley and should be developed with beautiful, welcoming neighborhoods, parks, and open space. Jurupa Valley deserves better than more warehousing.

Betty Anderson spoke in opposition to the proposed GPA which would allow warehouse and distribution uses in the Emerald Meadows area of Rubidoux. She commented that the City is already oversaturated with warehouses and the logistics industry. In addition, the proposed project will add truck traffic to the already existing truck traffic on the 60 Freeway from the warehouses in Riverside, Moreno Valley, and the Perris Valley.

Leslie Hill spoke in opposition to the proposed GPA as the amount of traffic that warehousing will cause will only lead to collisions, community damage and even fatalities.

Wendy Mello spoke in opposition to the proposed GPA as the community has the worst air quality in the nation. She encouraged the Council to fight for the health of residents by not allowing more toxic air as well as the many other negative impacts additional warehouses would bring.

JoMar Lenoir spoke in opposition to the proposed GPA as constructing warehouses on residential streets will devalue the homes in that community.

Valerie Warhop spoke in opposition to the proposed GPA. She has lived on Wallace Street since 1960 and is still waiting for this area to be developed with sidewalks. She asked when will Rubidoux matter.

Brent McManigal, representing the applicant, stated that the applicant wants to bring a vibrant and modern multi-use project to the city as a gateway to the city and they respectfully request that the Council provide them the opportunity to start the process.

Further discussion followed.

Council Member Brian Berkson voiced a concern that the developer will have 110 acres worth of warehouse and logistics and no official game plan on how those trucks will be getting on and off the freeway which leads him to believe that most of the semi-trucks will be travelling through residential areas to get on and off the freeway.

Mayor Lorena Barajas voiced support of the other components of the project which consists of retail, commercial, and residential housing. However, she does not support the addition of the 1.5 million warehousing and logistics component. She stated that this is the very thing that the Council is trying to avoid. The Council has worked very hard to reduce these types of projects as they pose a significant risk to residents. She stated that the community benefits do not outweigh the risks to residents as the project will bring additional truck traffic and air pollution.

Mayor Pro Tem Chris Barajas provided a history of previous Council Actions that included 1) a truck stop without any kind of physical barriers next to the Mira Loma Village; and 2) a new overlay to build warehouses outside the Mira Loma Overlay. As a result, this Council has learned what not to do. He discussed options for the developer including reducing the amount of warehousing and adding roundabouts, which would prevent truck traffic in residential areas.

Council Member Guillermo Silva noted that residents are tired of these types of projects and he would like to see more information regarding the community benefits.

Council Member Leslie Altamirano stated that she supports the commercial and residential components of the project; however, she does not support the developer's plan to build 1.5 million square feet of warehouse and logistic uses.

Mayor Pro Tem Chris Barajas recommended that the developer work with the Jurupa Area Recreation and Park District and the Jurupa Unified School District to

determine the amount of land that will be needed to build the proposed parks and schools. He also suggested that the developer provide a more accurate picture of what they are proposing.

Further discussion followed.

A motion was made by Council Member Brian Berkson, seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Chris Barajas, to table this item and defer action until a later time.

Ayes: L. Altamirano, C. Barajas, L. Barajas, B. Berkson, G. Silva

Noes: None

Absent: None

C. THIRD- QUARTER BUDGET PRESENTATION AND AMENDMENTS

Staff report presented by Connie Cardenas, Administrative Services Director.

A motion was made by Mayor Pro Tem Chris Barajas, seconded by Council Member Guillermo Silva, to approve Fiscal Year 2020-21 Third Quarter Budget Amendments to the City's Budget as presented in the exhibit attached to the staff report.

Ayes: L. Altamirano, C. Barajas, L. Barajas, B. Berkson, G. Silva

Noes: None

Absent: None

D. APPROVAL OF FIVE NEW CLASSIFICATIONS AND THIRD QUARTER AMENDED SALARY SCHEDULE FOR FY 2020-2021

Staff report presented by Connie Cardenas, Administrative Services Director.

A motion was made by Mayor Pro Tem Chris Barajas, seconded by Council Member Guillermo Silva, to approve the creation of five (5) new classifications including Job Descriptions and Salary Ranges as described in the staff report; and approve the funding of \$430,598 to support the hiring of five (5) existing positions as described in the staff report; and approve the amended Salary Schedule for 2020-21 which includes the five (5) new classifications and the funding for five (5) existing positions.

Aye s: L. Altamirano, C. Barajas, L. Barajas, B. Berkson, G. Silva

Noes: None

Absent: None

18. CITY ATTORNEY'S REPORT

City Attorney Peter Thorson had no report.

19. COUNCIL MEMBER REPORTS AND COMMENTS


Council Member Brian Berkson announced that the eligibility tier for the Covid-19 vaccine just changed today and anyone aged 50 years and over is eligible for the vaccine. On April 15, it will open for anyone over the age of 16. He encouraged residents to visit the County of Riverside's website and book their vaccine.

20. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business before the City Council, Mayor Lorena Barajas adjourned the meeting at 9:40 p.m.

The next meeting of the Jurupa Valley City Council will be held April 15, 2021 at 7:00 p.m. at the City Council Chamber, 8930 Limonite Avenue, Jurupa Valley, CA 92509.

Respectfully submitted,



Victoria Wasko, CMC
City Clerk